

## 1. BACKGROUND ON FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS

We start by recalling a few basics from any course on Functional Analysis. Suitable sources for this material are the books of D. Werner [9] or W. Rudin [7] (more advanced) or my course notes on Functional Analysis.

Let  $H, H_1, H_2$  be Hilbert spaces. We write generically  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  for the scalar product.

All statements in this section that do not directly involve the Hilbert space structure actually also hold in the Banach space setting.

## 1.a. Bounded and compact operators, spectra.

**1.1. Definition.** We call a linear operator  $A : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  bounded and write  $A \in \mathcal{L}(H_1, H_2)$ , if there exists a constant  $C \geq 0$  such that

$$\|Ax\| \leq C\|x\|, \quad x \in H_1.$$

**1.2. Lemma.** For linear operators, boundedness is equivalent to continuity.

**1.3. Theorem. (Riesz representation theorem).** For every continuous linear functional  $F : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  there exists precisely one element  $v_F \in H$  such that

$$F(u) = \langle u, v_F \rangle.$$

This provides an isometric conjugate-linear map from  $\mathcal{L}(H, \mathbb{C})$  to  $H$ .

Equivalently, we find for every continuous conjugate-linear map  $G : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  precisely one element  $v_G$  such that

$$G(u) = \langle v_G, u \rangle.$$

This furnishes an isometric isomorphism from the space of conjugate-linear functionals on  $H$  to  $H$ .

**1.4. Theorem. (Closed graph theorem)** Let  $A : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  be a linear operator. Then  $A$  is continuous if and only if the graph of  $A$ , i.e. the set  $\{(x, Ax) : x \in H_1\}$ , is closed in  $H_1 \times H_2$ .

In other words:  $A$  is bounded, if and only if for every sequence  $(x_k)$  in  $H_1$  with  $x_k \rightarrow 0$  in  $H_1$  and  $Ax_k \rightarrow y$  in  $H_2$ , we have  $y = 0$ .

**1.5. Theorem. (Hellinger-Toeplitz)** Let  $A : H \rightarrow H$  be a linear operator satisfying

$$\langle Ax, y \rangle = \langle x, Ay \rangle, \quad x, y \in H.$$

Then  $A$  is continuous.

*Proof.* Assume that  $x_k \rightarrow 0$  and  $Ax_k \rightarrow z$ . Then we have for all  $y \in H$ :

$$\langle z, y \rangle = \lim \langle Ax_k, y \rangle = \lim \langle x_k, Ay \rangle = 0.$$

Hence  $z = 0$  and the closed graph theorem implies the assertion.  $\square$

**1.6. Definition.** We call  $K \in \mathcal{L}(H_1, H_2)$  compact, if it maps bounded sets into compact sets. Write  $K \in \mathcal{K}(H_1, H_2)$ .

We say that  $H_1$  is compactly embedded in  $H_2$ , if the identity operator  $I : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  is compact.

## 1.7. Remark.

(a) Since closed subsets of compact subsets of Banach spaces are compact, we can rephrase this in several ways:

- If  $M \subset H_1$  is bounded, then the closure  $\overline{K(M)} \subset H_2$  is compact.
- The closure of the image of the unit ball  $\overline{K(B(0, 1))}$  is compact.

- If  $(x_k)_k$  is a bounded sequence in  $H_1$ , then  $(Kx_k)_k$  has a convergent subsequence.
- (b)  $\mathcal{K}(H_1, H_2)$  is a closed subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(H_1, H_2)$ .
- (c) The closed unit ball in a Banach space is compact if and only if its dimension is finite. As a consequence, every operator of finite rank is compact.
- (d) If  $K$  is compact,  $A$  and  $B$  are bounded, then  $AK$  and  $KB$  are compact.

**1.8. Definition.** The spectrum  $\sigma(A)$  of an operator  $A \in \mathcal{L}(H)$  is the set of all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\lambda I - A : E \rightarrow E$  is not bijective. The complement  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(A)$  is called the resolvent of  $A$ .

**1.9. Theorem.**

- (a) The spectrum of a bounded linear operator  $A \in \mathcal{L}(H)$  is a nonempty compact subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ . Conversely, every nonempty compact subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  arises as the spectrum of a bounded linear operator.
- (b) If  $A \in \mathcal{L}(H_1, H_2)$  is bijective, then  $A^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(H_2, H_1)$  (automatic continuity of the inverse).

**1.10. Theorem.** Let  $K \in \mathcal{K}(H)$ . Then  $\sigma(K)$  is a bounded, discrete subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  with only possible accumulation point 0. If  $\dim H = \infty$ , then  $0 \in \sigma(K)$ . Except for 0, all points in  $\sigma(K)$  are eigenvalues of finite multiplicity.

**1.11. Theorem.** Given a linear operator  $A \in \mathcal{L}(H)$  there exists a unique operator  $A^* \in \mathcal{L}(H)$  such that

$$\langle Ax, y \rangle = \langle x, A^*y \rangle, \quad x, y \in H.$$

Furthermore  $\|A^*\| = \|A\|$ .

We call  $A$  selfadjoint if  $A^* = A$ . In this case we have

$$\|A\| = \max\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(A)\}.$$

**1.b. Unbounded operators.**

**1.12. Definition.** An unbounded linear operator is a linear map  $T : \mathcal{D}(T) \subseteq H \rightarrow H$ . The subspace  $\mathcal{D}(T)$  is called the domain of  $T$ . When speaking of unbounded operators we will always assume linearity.

The operator  $T : \mathcal{D}(T) \rightarrow H$  is *closed*, if the graph of  $T$ , i.e. the set

$$\mathcal{G}(T) = \{(x, Tx) : x \in \mathcal{D}(T)\}$$

is a closed subset of  $H \times H$ .

**1.13. Lemma.** We can endow  $\mathcal{D}(T)$  with the norm  $\|x\|_T = \|x\| + \|Tx\|$ . If  $T$  is closed, then  $(\mathcal{D}(T), \|\cdot\|_T)$  is a Banach space, and

$$T : (\mathcal{D}(T), \|\cdot\|_T) \rightarrow T$$

is a bounded linear operator. In this sense, closedness is a substitute for boundedness.

*Proof.* Clearly,  $\|\cdot\|_T$  is a norm. If  $(x_k)$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(\mathcal{D}(T), \|\cdot\|_T)$ , then  $(x_k)$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $H$  thus has a limit  $x$ , and  $(Tx_k)$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $H$  and has a limit  $y$ . Thus  $(x, y)$  is the limit of a sequence in the graph of  $T$ . Since the graph is closed,  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{G}(T)$ . We conclude that  $x_k \rightarrow x \in (\mathcal{D}(T), \|\cdot\|_T)$ , so that this space is complete. Now the closed graph theorem implies the boundedness of  $T$  in this setting.

**1.14. Definition.** The operator  $T : \mathcal{D}(T) \subset H \rightarrow H$  is *invertible*, if there exists an operator  $Q \in \mathcal{L}(H)$  with range  $\mathcal{D}(T)$  such that  $TQ = I_H$  and  $QT = I_{\mathcal{D}(T)}$ . The *spectrum* of  $T$  is the set of all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  for which  $T - \lambda I$  is not invertible. The complement of the spectrum is called the *resolvent (set)*.

**1.15. Remark.** (a) The spectrum always is a closed subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ , and every closed subset arises as the spectrum of an unbounded operator.

(b) If  $T$  is not closed, then  $\sigma(T) = \mathbb{C}$ .

**1.16. Definition.** We call  $T : \mathcal{D}(T) \rightarrow H$  closable, if there exists a closed extension of  $T$ , i.e. an operator  $\widehat{T}$  with domain  $\mathcal{D}(\widehat{T}) \supseteq \mathcal{D}(T)$  such that  $\widehat{T}|_{\mathcal{D}(T)} = T$ . In this case we call the smallest closed extension the *closure* of  $T$  and denote it by  $\overline{T}$ .

**1.17. Lemma.** *The following are equivalent for an unbounded operator  $T$  with domain  $\mathcal{D}(T)$ :*

(a)  $T$  is closable.

(b) The closure  $\overline{\mathcal{G}(T)}$  of the graph is the graph of an operator  $\overline{T}$

(c) If  $(x_k)$  is a sequence in  $\mathcal{D}(T)$  with  $x_k \rightarrow 0$  and  $Tx_k \rightarrow y$ , then  $y = 0$ .

In this case, the closure  $\overline{T}$  is defined by

(1)  $\mathcal{D}(\overline{T}) = \{x \in H : \exists (x_k) \subset \mathcal{D}(T) \text{ such that } x_k \rightarrow x \text{ and } Tx_k \rightarrow y \text{ for some } y \text{ in } H\}$ .

*Proof.* (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b): If  $\overline{T}$  is the closure of  $T$ , then  $\overline{\mathcal{G}(T)}$  is the graph of  $\overline{T}$ .

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c): Follows from the fact that  $\overline{T}0 = 0$ .

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a): Define  $\mathcal{D}(\overline{T})$  by (1) and let  $\overline{T}x = y$  whenever  $(x, y)$  is the limit of a sequence  $(x_k, Tx_k)$  with  $x_k \in \mathcal{D}(T)$ .

This makes sense: If  $(x_k, Tx_k) \rightarrow (x, y)$  and  $(\tilde{x}_k, T\tilde{x}_k) \rightarrow (x, \tilde{y})$ , then  $x_k - \tilde{x}_k \rightarrow 0$  and  $T(x_k - \tilde{x}_k) \rightarrow y - \tilde{y}$ , so that, by assumption,  $y = \tilde{y}$ . Moreover, it defines a linear operator. By construction, its graph is closed.  $\square$

**1.18. Definition.** Let  $T$  be closed. We call a subset  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $\mathcal{D}(T)$  a *core* for  $T$ , if  $\overline{T|_{\mathcal{C}}} = T$ .

**1.19. Definition.** Let  $T : \mathcal{D}(T) \rightarrow H$  be densely defined.

(a)  $T$  is called *symmetric*, if

$$\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, Ty \rangle, \quad x, y \in \mathcal{D}(T).$$

(b) The *adjoint*  $T^*$  of an unbounded operator  $T$  is defined on the set

$$\mathcal{D}(T^*) = \{x \in H : \exists z \in H \text{ s.t. } \langle x, Ty \rangle = \langle z, y \rangle, y \in \mathcal{D}(T)\}.$$

In this notation one lets  $T^*x = z$ .

(c)  $T$  is called *selfadjoint* if  $\mathcal{D}(T^*) = \mathcal{D}(T)$  and  $T = T^*$  on  $\mathcal{D}(T)$ .

(d)  $T$  is called *essentially selfadjoint* if its closure is selfadjoint.

**1.20. Remark.** (a) We need that  $T$  is densely defined in order to have a unique  $z$  in 1.19(b).

(b) ‘Symmetric’ and ‘selfadjoint’ are different notions. If  $T$  is symmetric, then  $\mathcal{D}(T) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(T^*)$ , so that  $T^*$  is an extension of  $T$ . In general, however, a symmetric operator might not have a selfadjoint extension.

**1.21. Theorem.** *Let  $T$  be densely defined. Then*

(a)  $T^*$  is closed.

(b)  $T$  is closable, if and only if  $\mathcal{D}(T^*)$  is dense in  $H$ . In this case,  $\overline{T} = T^{**}$ .

(c) If  $T$  is closable, then  $(\overline{T})^* = T^*$ .

*Proof.* Define a scalar product on  $H \times H$  by

$$((x, y), (u, v)) = \langle x, u \rangle + \langle y, v \rangle$$

and a map

$$V : H \times H \rightarrow H \times H \text{ by } V(x, y) = (-y, x).$$

Then  $V^2 = -I$  and for every subspace  $U$  of  $H \times H$

$$(1) \quad V(U^\perp) = V(U)^\perp.$$

(a) Note that

$$\begin{aligned} (u, v) \in V(\mathcal{G}(T))^\perp &\Leftrightarrow \langle u, -Tx \rangle + \langle v, x \rangle = 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{D}(T) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \langle u, Tx \rangle = \langle v, x \rangle \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{D}(T) \\ &\Leftrightarrow (u, v) \in \mathcal{G}(T^*) \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{G}(T^*) = V(\mathcal{G}(T))^\perp$$

which is a closed subset of  $H \times H$ .

(b) We have  $\overline{M} = (M^\perp)^\perp$  for every subspace  $M$  of a Hilbert space. Hence we always have

$$(3) \quad \overline{\mathcal{G}(T)} = (\mathcal{G}(T)^\perp)^\perp \stackrel{V^2 \equiv -I}{=} (V^2(\mathcal{G}(T)^\perp))^\perp \stackrel{(1)}{=} (V(V(\mathcal{G}(T))))^\perp \stackrel{(3)}{=} V(\mathcal{G}(T^*))^\perp.$$

So, if  $T^*$  is densely defined, we can apply Equation (2) of (a) and find that  $\overline{\mathcal{G}(T)} = \mathcal{G}(T^{**})$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $T$  is closable, but  $\mathcal{D}(T^*)$  is not dense in  $H$ . Then we find  $0 \neq y \in \mathcal{D}(T^*)^\perp$ . This implies that  $(y, 0) \in \mathcal{G}(T^*)^\perp$ . Hence

$$(0, y) \in V(\mathcal{G}(T^*)^\perp) \stackrel{(1)}{=} V(\mathcal{G}(T^*))^\perp \stackrel{(3)}{=} \overline{\mathcal{G}(T)} \stackrel{(1.17)(b)}{=} \mathcal{G}(\overline{T}).$$

This is a contradiction, since a linear operator maps zero to zero and  $y \neq 0$ .

(c) If  $T$  is closable, then  $T^* \stackrel{(a)}{=} \overline{T^*} \stackrel{(b)}{=} T^{***} = \overline{T}$ . □