

## 6. ELLIPTIC COMPLEXES

**6.1. Definition.** Let  $E^0, E^1, \dots$ , be vector bundles over  $M$ . A complex of pseudodifferential operators is a finite sequence

$$(1) \quad 0 \rightarrow C^\infty(M, E^0) \xrightarrow{P^0} C^\infty(M, E^1) \xrightarrow{P^1} \dots \xrightarrow{P^{m-1}} C^\infty(M, E^m) \rightarrow 0$$

of pseudodifferential operators  $P^j$  such that  $P^{j+1}P^j = 0$ , i.e.  $\text{im } P^j \subseteq \ker P^{j+1}$ .

It will make the considerations in 6.4, below, easier, if we assume that all  $P^j$  have the same order, say  $m$ . In practically appearing cases, we mostly have  $m = 1$ ; otherwise it can easily be achieved with the help of order reducing operators.

The complex is called elliptic, if the associated complex of symbol maps

$$(2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \pi^* E^0 \xrightarrow{\sigma(P^0)} \pi^* E^1 \xrightarrow{\sigma(P^1)} \dots \xrightarrow{\sigma(P^{m-1})} \pi^* E^m \rightarrow 0$$

over  $T^*M \setminus 0$  is exact, i.e.  $\text{im } \sigma(P^j) = \ker \sigma(P^{j+1})$ . Here  $\pi : T^*M \setminus 0 \rightarrow M$  is the map to the base point.

In the sequel, we will also assume that the vector bundles have a hermitian structure, i.e. there is a scalar product on every fiber, varying smoothly with the base point.

**6.2. Example.** Let  $\Lambda^k = \Lambda^k T^*M$  be the bundle of  $k$ -forms over  $M$ . As usual, we write  $\Omega^k M = C^\infty(M, \Lambda^k)$ . Consider the de Rham complex

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega^0 M \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^1 M \xrightarrow{d} \dots \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^n M \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $n = \dim M$ . The associated symbol complex is

$$0 \rightarrow \pi^* \Lambda^0 \xrightarrow{i\xi^\wedge} \pi^* \Lambda^1 \xrightarrow{i\xi^\wedge} \dots \xrightarrow{I\xi^\wedge} \pi^* \Lambda^n \rightarrow 0,$$

cf. Example 5.31. As  $\xi \wedge \xi = 0$  for every  $\xi \in T^*M \setminus 0$ , we have  $\text{im } \sigma(d^j) \subseteq \ker \sigma(d^{j+1})$ . In order to see the reverse inclusion assume that  $\xi \wedge \eta = 0$  for a form  $\eta = \sum f_I dx^I$  where the sum is over all  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$  with  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n$ . By a linear change of coordinates we may assume that  $\xi = dx^1$ . The fact that  $\xi \wedge \eta = 0$  means that  $i_1 = 1$  for all  $I$  with  $f_I \neq 0$ . Hence  $\eta \in \text{im}(dx^1 \wedge)$ .

**6.3. Example.** Every elliptic pseudodifferential operator  $P : C^\infty(M, E^1) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, E^2)$  defines an elliptic complex

$$0 \rightarrow C^\infty(M, E^1) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, E^2) \rightarrow 0.$$

In fact,

$$0 \rightarrow \pi^* E^1 \xrightarrow{\sigma(P)} \pi^* E^2 \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, since  $\sigma(P)(x, \xi)$  is invertible.

**6.4. From Complexes to operators.** To every complex we can associate operators in a canonical way: Write

$$\mathcal{E} = \bigoplus_j E^j, \mathcal{E}^{\text{even}} = \bigoplus_j E^{2j}, \mathcal{E}^{\text{odd}} = \bigoplus_j E^{2j+1}$$

and define the  $(m+1) \times (m+1)$  operator matrices

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ P^0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & P^1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & P^{m-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & P^{0*} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & P^{1*} & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & P^{m-1*} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $P^{j*} : C^\infty(M, E^{j+1}) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, E^j)$  is the formal adjoint to  $P^j$ . The identity  $P^{j+1}P^j$  implies that  $P^2 = 0 = (P^*)^2$ . Then define

$$\Delta = (P + P^*)^2 = P^*P + PP^*.$$

A short computation shows that

$$\Delta = \begin{pmatrix} P^{0*}P^0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & P^{1*}P^1 + P^0P^{0*} & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & P^{m-1*}P^{m-1} + P^{m-2}P^{m-2*} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & P^{m-1}P^{m-1*} \end{pmatrix}$$

$\Delta$  is called the Hodge-Laplace operator, in particular, if the  $P_j$  are the exterior derivatives on forms.

Assuming - as we do - that all  $P^j$  are of order  $m$ , we see that  $\Delta$  is a pseudodifferential operator of order  $2m$  and therefore has continuous extensions to a bounded operator  $\Delta : H^s(M, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow H^{s-2m}(M, \mathcal{E})$ .

**6.5. Example.** Choosing a scalar product on the fibers  $T^*M$  (which is equivalent to choosing a riemannian metric on  $M$ ) we obtain scalar products on all spaces  $\Omega^k(M)$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, n$ . The adjoint to the de Rham differential  $d$  is often denoted by  $\delta$ . We know from the general theory that the symbol of the adjoint is the adjoint of the symbol. Here

$$\sigma(d) : \pi_0^* \Omega^k(M) \rightarrow \pi_0^* \Omega^{k+1}(M); \quad \sigma(d)(x, \xi)\eta = \xi \wedge \eta, \quad \eta \in \pi_0^* \Omega^k(M).$$

The adjoint map is the interior multiplication map  $\text{int}(i\xi)$  with  $-i\xi$  (in contrast to the map  $\text{ext}(i\xi) = i\xi \wedge$  of exterior multiplication with  $i\xi$ ):

$$\sigma(\delta) = \sigma(d)^* : \pi_0^* \Omega^{k+1}(M) \rightarrow \pi_0^* \Omega^k(M).$$

The scalar product on  $T^*M$  induces, for every  $x \in M$ , an isomorphism  $j : T_x^*M \xrightarrow{\cong} T_xM$  by

$$\eta(j(\omega)) = \langle \eta, \omega \rangle, \quad \eta, \omega \in T^*M.$$

We then set

$$\text{int}(-i\xi)\eta = -i\nu_{j(\xi)}\eta, \quad \eta \in \Omega^{k+1}(M).$$

The symbol of the Laplacian on  $k$ -forms then is

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\Delta)(x, \xi) &= (\sigma(d)\sigma(\delta) + \sigma(\delta)\sigma(d))(x, \xi) \\ &= \text{ext}(i\xi)\text{int}(-i\xi) + \text{int}(-i\xi)\text{ext}(i\xi) = |\xi|^2 Id_{\Lambda^k}. \end{aligned}$$

**6.6. Lemma.** *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *The complex 6.1(1) is elliptic*
- (ii)  $\Delta : C^\infty(M, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, \mathcal{E})$  *is elliptic*
- (iii)  $P + P^* : C^\infty(M, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, \mathcal{E})$  *is elliptic.*

*Proof.* Since  $\Delta = (P + P^*)^2$ , conditions (ii) and (iii) are equivalent by Theorem 5.25.

Moreover,  $\Delta$  is elliptic if and only if all diagonal elements are elliptic. Let us check that this is equivalent to the exactness of the complex

$$(1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \pi_0^* E^0 \xrightarrow{\sigma(P^0)} \pi_0^* E^1 \xrightarrow{\sigma(P^1)} \dots \xrightarrow{\sigma(P^{m-1})} \pi_0^* E^m \longrightarrow 0.$$

Write  $p_j = \sigma(P^j)$ . First assume that (1) is exact. Then in the first place  $p_0$  is injective, and hence  $p_0^* p_0$  invertible.

In the next place, assume that  $\text{im } p_0 = \ker p_1$  and show the invertibility of  $p_1^* p_1 + p_0 p_0^*$ . It suffices to prove that the kernel is trivial. Suppose  $p_1^* p_1 x + p_0 p_0^* x = 0$ . Then  $\langle p_1^* p_1 x, x \rangle + \langle p_0 p_0^* x, x \rangle = 0$  and hence  $\langle p_1 x, p_1 x \rangle + \langle p_0^* x, p_0^* x \rangle = 0$ . We see that  $x \in \ker p_1 = \text{im } p_0$ , so that  $x = p_0 y$  for some  $y$  and  $p_0^* p_0 y = p_0^* x = 0$ . Since  $p_0^* p_0$  is invertible,  $y = 0$  and therefore  $x = 0$ . Iteration shows the invertibility of all  $p_{j+1}^* p_{j+1} + p_j p_j^*$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $\Delta$  is elliptic. In the first place, the invertibility of  $p_0^* p_0$  implies the injectivity of  $p_0$ . Next suppose  $x \in \pi_0^* E^1$  belongs to the kernel of  $p_1$ . As  $p_1^* p_1 + p_0 p_0^*$  is invertible, we find  $y \in \pi_0^* E^1$  such that  $x = (p_1^* p_1 + p_0 p_0^*) y$ . The fact that  $x$  is in the kernel of  $p_1$  together with the fact that  $p_1 p_0 = 0$  implies that  $0 = p_1 x = p_1 p_1^* p_1 y$ . Hence  $p_1 y \in \ker p_1 p_1^* = \ker p_1^*$ . So  $p_1^* p_1 y = 0$  and thus  $x = p_0 p_0^* y \in \text{im } p_0$ . We argue analogously for the other places.  $\square$

**6.7. Lemma.** *Assume that the complex 6.1(1) is elliptic. Then*

- (a)  $\ker \Delta \subseteq C^\infty(M, \mathcal{E})$  for all extensions  $\Delta : H^s(M, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow H^{s-m}(M, \mathcal{E})$ .
- (b)  $\ker \Delta = \ker P \cap \ker P^*$ .

*Proof.* (a) follows from elliptic regularity.

(b) We have  $\langle \Delta u, u \rangle = \langle (P^* P + P P^*) u, u \rangle = \langle P u, P u \rangle + \langle P^* u, P^* u \rangle$ , so that  $\ker \Delta \subseteq \ker P \cap \ker P^*$ . Conversely, if  $u \in \ker P \cap \ker P^*$ , then  $\Delta u = (P^* P + P P^*) u = 0$ .  $\square$

**6.8. Theorem: Hodge decomposition.** *Assume that the complex 6.1(1) is elliptic. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} C^\infty(M, \mathcal{E}) &= \ker \Delta \perp \text{im } P^* P|_{C^\infty(M, \mathcal{E})} \perp \text{im } P P^*|_{C^\infty(M, \mathcal{E})} \\ &= \ker \Delta \perp \text{im } P^*|_{C^\infty(M, \mathcal{E})} \perp \text{im } P|_{C^\infty(M, \mathcal{E})}, \end{aligned}$$

where we consider  $P$  and  $P^*$  as maps on  $C^\infty(M, \mathcal{E})$  and write  $\perp$  for the orthogonal direct sum.

*Proof.* ‘ $\supseteq$ ’ is clear from Lemma 6.7 and the mapping properties.

‘ $\subseteq$ ’: Denote, for the moment, by  $\pi_{\ker \Delta}$  the orthogonal projection onto the (finite-dimensional) kernel of  $\Delta$  in  $L^2(M, \mathcal{E})$ . Given  $u \in C^\infty(M, \mathcal{E})$  let

$u_0 = \pi_{\ker \Delta} u$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
u - u_0 &\in (\ker \Delta)^\perp \cap C^\infty(M, \mathcal{E}) \\
&= \overline{\operatorname{im} \Delta^*} \cap C^\infty(M, \mathcal{E}) \\
&\stackrel{\Delta = \Delta^*}{=} \overline{\operatorname{im} \Delta} \cap C^\infty(M, \mathcal{E}) \\
&\stackrel{\Delta \text{ Fredholm}}{=} \operatorname{im} \Delta \cap C^\infty(M, \mathcal{E}) \\
&= \operatorname{im} \Delta|_{C^\infty(M, \mathcal{E})} \\
&= \operatorname{im}(P^*P + PP^*) \\
&\subseteq \operatorname{im} P^*P + \operatorname{im} PP^* \\
&\subseteq \operatorname{im} P^* + \operatorname{im} P.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we note that the right hand side is a subset of  $(\ker \Delta)^\perp$  and that the last sum is orthogonal in view of the fact that for  $u, v \in C^\infty(M, \mathcal{E})$ :

$$\langle Pu, P^*v \rangle = \langle P^2u, v \rangle = 0, \text{ since } P^2 = 0 \text{ (complex!).}$$

□

**6.9. Hodge Theorem.** *Assume that the complex 6.1(1) is elliptic and write  $\Delta = \operatorname{diag}(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_m)$ . Then*

$$\ker \Delta_k \cong \ker P_k / \operatorname{im} P_{k-1} =: H^k, \quad k = 1, \dots, m.$$

Note that the quotient makes sense, since the complex property  $P_k P_{k-1} = 0$  guarantees that  $\operatorname{im} P_{k-1} \subseteq \ker P_k$ . Since  $\Delta$  is a Fredholm operator, the quotient is finite-dimensional.

*Proof.* We define the map

$$\ker \Delta \ni u \mapsto [u] = u + \operatorname{im} P_{k-1} \in H^k$$

According to Lemma 6.7 this makes sense.

The map is injective: Suppose  $[u] = 0$ . Then  $u \in \operatorname{im} P_{k-1}$ , which is orthogonal to  $\ker \Delta$  by Theorem 6.8. Hence  $u = 0$ .

Surjectivity. Suppose that  $u \in \ker P_k$ . Apply  $P_k$  to the Hodge decomposition

$$u = u_0 + P_{k-1}v + P_k^*w$$

of  $u$  (with  $u_0 \in \ker \Delta$ ,  $v \in C^\infty(M, \mathcal{E}_{k-1})$ ,  $w \in C^\infty(M, \mathcal{E}_k)$ ), we see that

$$0 = P_k u_0 + P_k P_{k-1}v + P_k P_k^*w = 0 + 0 + P_k P_k^*w$$

since  $\ker \Delta \subseteq \ker P_k$  according to Lemma 6.7(b), and since  $P_k P_{k-1} = 0$ . We conclude that  $P_k P_k^*w = 0$  and therefore  $P_k^*w = 0$ . Hence  $[u_0] = [u]$ . □

**6.10. Definition.** Given an elliptic complex 6.1(1) we can define the operator

$$\mathcal{P} = (P + P^*)|_{C^\infty(M, \mathcal{E}^{\text{even}})} : C^\infty(M, \mathcal{E}^{\text{even}}) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, \mathcal{E}^{\text{odd}}).$$

Moreover, one defines the index of the complex to be the index of  $\mathcal{P}$ . This is consistent with the usual definition by Example 6.3. Denote by  $p_k$  the

symbol of  $P_k$ . To see the injectivity of  $\sigma(\mathcal{P})$  we note that for  $v = (v_0, v_2, \dots)$  the equality  $\sigma(\mathcal{P})v = 0$  says that

$$\begin{aligned} p_0 v_0 + p_1^* v_2 &= 0 \\ p_2 v_2 + p_3^* v_4 &= 0 \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

The first equality implies that  $p_1^* v_2 \in \text{im } p_0 = \ker p_1$ . This shows that  $0 = \langle p_1 p_1^* v_2, v_2 \rangle = \langle p_1^* v_2, p_1^* v_2 \rangle$ ; hence  $p_1^* v_2 = 0$ , and  $v_0 = 0$  in view of the injectivity of  $p_0$ . The second equality shows that  $p_3^* v_4 \in \text{im } p_2 = \ker p_3$ . As before,  $p_3^* v_4 = 0$ . Hence  $v_2 \in \ker p_2 = \text{im } p_1$ , say  $v_2 = p_1 w_1$ . Then  $0 = p_1^* v_2 = p_1^* p_1 w_1$ . Taking the scalar product with  $w_1$ , we see that  $0 = p_1 w_1 = v_2$ . Iteration gives the desired injectivity.

The exactness of the symbol complex implies that the dimensions of the sums of the even and odd spaces agree (!). Hence the symbol of  $\mathcal{P}$  is a map between spaces of the same dimension and injectivity implies invertibility.

**6.11. Lemma.** *We use the notation of Definition 6.10.*

(a) *The adjoint  $\mathcal{P}^*$  of  $\mathcal{P}$  is given by*

$$\mathcal{P}^* = (P + P^*)|_{C^\infty(M, \mathcal{E}^{odd})} : C^\infty(M, \mathcal{E}^{odd}) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, \mathcal{E}^{even}).$$

(b)  $\ker \mathcal{P} = \bigoplus_k \ker (P + P^*)|_{C^\infty(M, \mathcal{E}^{2k})} = \bigoplus_k \ker \Delta_{2k}$

(c)  $\ker \mathcal{P}^* = \bigoplus_k \ker (P + P^*)|_{C^\infty(M, \mathcal{E}^{2k+1})} = \bigoplus_k \ker \Delta_{2k+1}$

*Proof.* (a) is clear.

(b) The first equality holds by definition. As for the second we see from Example 6.3 that for  $v = (v_0, v_2, \dots)$

$$(P + P^*)v = (P_1^* v_2 + P_0 v_0, P_3^* v_4 + P_2 v_2, \dots).$$

In view of the fact that  $\text{im } P_k^* \perp \text{im } P_{k-1}$  we find that  $(P + P^*)v = 0$  if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} P_1^* v_2 = 0 &= P_0 v_0, \\ P_3^* v_4 = 0 &= P_2 v_2, \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$

This in turn is equivalent to the fact that

$$v \in \ker P_{2k} \cap \ker P_{2k+1}^* \stackrel{6.7}{=} \ker \Delta_{2k}.$$

(c) Similarly. □

**6.12. Theorem.** *For an elliptic complex 6.1(1) we obtain*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ind } \mathcal{P} &= \dim \ker \mathcal{P} - \dim \ker \mathcal{P}^* \\ (1) \quad &= \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \dim \ker \Delta_k = \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \dim H^k. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The first equality is due to the fact that  $\ker \mathcal{P}^* = (\text{im } \mathcal{P})^\perp$  and that  $\text{im } \mathcal{P}$  is closed, since  $\text{im } P_k = \ker P_{k+1}$ . The second equality is a consequence of Lemma 6.3 and the third follows from the Hodge Theorem 6.9. □

**6.13. Remark.** The number on the right hand side of 6.12(1) is called the Euler characteristic of the complex. For the deRham complex

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega^0 M \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^1 M \rightarrow \dots \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^n M \rightarrow 0$$

the spaces  $H^k(M)$  are called the de Rham cohomology classes and the number  $\sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \dim H^k(M)$  is called the Euler characteristic of  $M$ .

**6.14. Example.** The theorem of Gauß-Bonnet asserts that for a compact, oriented Riemannian surface  $M$  one has

$$\text{ind } d = \chi(M) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_M K dS,$$

where  $K$  is the Gauß curvature (the product of the two principal curvatures). This expresses the index of the complex in locally computable terms. The result is true for any metric!

In this 2-dimensional case, the Euler characteristic  $\chi(M)$  is related to the genus  $g$  of  $M$  by the formula

$$\chi(M) = 2 - 2g.$$

### 6.15. More complexes.

(a) **Signature complex.** Let  $M$  be a compact oriented manifold of dimension  $n = 4k$ . We can then define a symmetric bilinear form on  $H^{2k}(M)$  by

$$(\alpha, \beta) = \int_M \alpha \wedge \beta \stackrel{\dim=4k}{=} \int_M \beta \wedge \alpha.$$

The signature of the associated quadratic form is called the *signature* of  $M$ ,  $\text{sign}(M)$ .

Moreover, the smooth scalar product on the fibers on  $T^*M$  (i.e. a Riemannian metric  $g$  on  $M$ ) gives us an  $L^2$ -scalar product on all  $\Omega^m(M)$ , and we obtain an isomorphism  $\star : \Omega^m(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{n-m}$  via

$$\int \star \omega \wedge \eta = \int \langle \omega, \eta \rangle d\mu_g, \quad \omega, \eta \in \Omega^m(M).$$

The map  $\star$  satisfies  $\star\star = \pm I$  with a suitable sign. Letting  $\tau : i^{k+m(m-1)}\star$  we obtain an involution<sup>13</sup> on  $\Omega^\bullet(M) = \bigoplus \Omega^m(M)$ , so that  $\Omega^\bullet(M) = \Omega^+(M) \oplus \Omega^-(M)$ , where  $\Omega^\pm(M)$  are the  $\pm 1$ -eigenspaces for  $\tau$ .

Since  $d + \delta$  anti-commutes with  $\tau$ , we may consider the operator  $d + \delta : \Omega^+(M) \rightarrow \Omega^-(M)$ . It turns out that

$$\text{ind}(d + \delta) = \text{sign } M.$$

Hirzebruch's signature theorem (1953) expresses the signature as an integral:

$$\text{sign}(M) = (\pi i)^{-2k} \int_M L(M)$$

Here  $L(M)$  is the  $L$ -genus of  $M$ , a  $4k$ -form made up from the Pontryagin classes of the tangent bundle (to be explained later).

<sup>13</sup>An involution is a map  $\iota$  with  $\iota^2 = I$ . In particular, its eigenvalues are  $\pm 1$ .

- (b) **Dolbeault complex.** On a complex manifold  $M$  we have the  $\bar{\partial}$ -operator,

$$\bar{\partial} : \Omega^{p,q}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{p,q+1}(M).$$

The cohomology of the complex

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega^{0,0}(M) \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \Omega^{0,1}(M) \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \dots \rightarrow 0$$

is called the Dolbeault cohomology of  $M$ . Here

$$\text{ind}(\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*) = \sum (-1)^p \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^p(M),$$

the so-called holomorphic Euler characteristic of  $M$ .

The setting generalizes to the case of a complex vector bundle  $E$  over  $M$ .

The Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorem [10] of 1954 expresses the Euler characteristic  $\chi(M, E)$  by an integral involving the Chern class of  $E$  and the Todd class of the tangent bundle of  $M$ . More on this in the next section.